## For the Northern District of California

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,
v.
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Defendant

THOMAS E. FISTER,

No. C-11-1461 MMC

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO INFORM COURT WHETHER THEY CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES

ted States District Judge

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. <u>See</u> Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than May 6, 2011, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.¹ For the parties' convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also available at <a href="http://www.cand.uscourts.gov">http://www.cand.uscourts.gov</a>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 19, 2011

<sup>1</sup>Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.